

April 11, 2023

Mr. John McLean Deputy Executive Director and Chief Financial Officer Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority 235 Government Center Drive Wilmington, NC 28403

Subject: Calculation of Water and Sewer System Development Fees for FY 2024

Dear Mr. McLean:

Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc. ("Raftelis") has completed an evaluation to develop cost-justified water and sewer system development fees for fiscal year ("FY") 2024 for consideration by Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority ("CFPUA"). This report documents the results of the analysis, which was based on an approach for establishing system development fees set forth in North Carolina General Statute 162A Article 8 – "System Development Fees." The purpose of this report is to summarize Raftelis' conclusion related to cost justified water and sewer system development fees. It is not intended to address anything else associated with the system development fees, such as the administration of these fees, etc.

The preparation of this report was developed by Raftelis for CFPUA based on a specific scope of work agreed to by both parties. The scope of Raftelis' work consisted of completing a calculation of cost justified water and sewer system development fees using common industry practices and industry standards. We provide no opinion on the legality of the system development fees implemented by CFPUA. It is the responsibility of CFPUA to ensure compliance of the system development fees with North Carolina General Statute 162A Article 8 – "System Development Fees.". The scope of work did not include any additional work other than the calculation associated with the system development fees, such as opinions or recommendations on the administration of these fees, the timing and use application of revenues from the collection of these fees, etc., as that is the responsibility of CFPUA.

In developing the conclusions contained within this report, Raftelis has relied on certain assumptions and information provided by CFPUA, who is most knowledgeable of the water and sewer system, its finances, etc. Raftelis has not independently verified the accuracy of the information provided by CFPUA. We believe such sources are reliable and the information obtained to be reasonable and appropriate for the analysis undertaken and the conclusions reached. The conclusions contained in this report are as of the stated date, for a specific use and purpose, and made under specific assumptions and limiting conditions. The reader is cautioned and reminded that the conclusions presented in this report apply only as to the effective date indicated. Raftelis makes no warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the opinions and conclusions contained in this report. Any statement in this report involving estimates or matters of opinion, whether or not specifically designated, are intended as such, and not as representation of fact.

Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority

Background

System development fees are one-time charges assessed to new water and/or sewer customers for their use of system capacity and serve as an equitable method by which to recover up-front system capacity costs from those using the capacity. North Carolina General Statute 162A Article 8 ("Article 8") provides for the uniform authority to implement system development fees for public water and sewer systems in North Carolina and was passed by the North Carolina General Assembly and signed into law on July 20, 2017, and was modified by Session Law 2021-76 and House Bill 344, which was approved on July 2, 2021. According to the statute, system development fees are required to be adopted in accordance with the conditions and limitations of Article 8, and the fees are required to conform to the requirements set forth in the Article no later than July 1, 2018. In addition, the system development fees must also be prepared by a financial professional or licensed professional engineer, qualified by experience and training or education, who, according to the Article, shall:

- Document in reasonable detail the facts and data used in the analysis and their sufficiency and reliability.
- Employs generally accepted accounting, engineering, and planning methodologies, including the buy-in, incremental cost or marginal cost, and combined methods for each service, setting forth appropriate analysis as to the consideration and selection of a method appropriate to the circumstances and adapted as necessary to satisfy all requirements of Article 8.
- Document and demonstrate the reliable application of the methodologies to the facts and data, including all reasoning, analysis, and interim calculations underlying each identifiable component of the system development fee and the aggregate thereof.
- Identify all assumptions and limiting conditions affecting the analysis and demonstrate that they do not materially undermine the reliability of conclusions reached.
- Calculate a final system development fee per service unit of new development and include an
 equivalency or conversion table for use in determining the fees applicable for various categories
 of demand.
- Consider a planning horizon of not less than five years, nor more than 20 years.
- Use the gallons per day per service unit that the local government unit applies to its water or sewer system engineering for planning purposes for water or sewer, as appropriate, in calculating the system development fee.

This letter report documents the results of the calculation of water and sewer system development fees for FY 2024 in accordance with these requirements. In general, system development fees are calculated based on (1) a cost analysis of the existing or planned infrastructure that is in place, or will be constructed, to serve new capacity demands, and (2) the existing or additional capacity associated with these assets. Article 8 is relatively explicit in the identification of infrastructure assets that may be included as part of the system development fee calculation, as the Article defines allowable assets to include the following types, as provided in Section 201:

"A water supply, treatment, storage, or distribution facility, or a wastewater collection, treatment, or disposal facility providing a general benefit to the area that facility serves and is owned or operated, or to be owned or operated, by a local governmental unit. This shall include facilities for the reuse or reclamation of water and any land associated with the facility."

assets that satisfied this definition.

Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority

JOHN MCLEAN 3

Therefore, the method used to calculate system development fees for CFPUA included system facility

Article 8 references three methodologies that could be used to calculate system development fees. These include the buy-in method, the incremental cost method, and the combined cost method. A description of each of these methods is included in the following paragraphs:

Capacity Buy-In Method:

Under the Capacity Buy-In Method, a system development fee is calculated based on the proportional cost of each user's share of existing system capacity. This approach is typically used when existing facilities can provide adequate capacity to accommodate future growth. The cost of capacity is derived by dividing the estimated value of existing facilities by the current capacity provided by existing facilities. Adjustments to the value of existing facilities are made for developer contributed assets, grant funds, and outstanding debt.

Incremental Cost Method:

Under the Incremental Cost (or Marginal Cost) Method, a system development fee is calculated based on a new customer's proportional share of the incremental future cost of system capacity. This approach is typically used when existing facilities have limited or no capacity to accommodate future growth. The cost of capacity is calculated by dividing the total cost of growth-related capital investments by the additional capacity provided as a result of the investments.

Combined Method:

Under the Combined Method, a system development fee is calculated based on the blended value of both the existing and expanded system capacity. As such, it is a combination of the Capacity Buy-In and Incremental Cost methods. This method is typically used when existing facilities provide adequate capacity to accommodate a portion of the capacity needs of new customers, but where significant investment in new facilities to address a portion of the capacity needs of future growth is also anticipated, or where some capacity is available in parts of the existing system, but incremental capacity will be needed for other parts of the system to serve new customers at some point in the future.

The Capacity Buy-In method was used to calculate the water and sewer system development fees for CFPUA, since in general, CFPUA's existing water and sewer treatment facilities have adequate capacity to accommodate anticipated future growth over the near term. The following steps were completed to calculate the fees under the Capacity Buy-In Method:

- 1. The replacement value of existing system facilities was calculated, and adjustments were made to derive a net replacement value estimate in accordance with Article 8. Adjustments to the calculated replacement value included deducting accumulated depreciation, grant funded assets and developer contributions, and a portion of outstanding debt.
- 2. The unit cost of system capacity was estimated by dividing the net replacement value of existing system facilities by the current capacity of the system.
- 3. The amount of capacity assumed to be demanded by one service unit of new development was identified. One equivalent residential unit ("ERU") was defined as the smallest service unit of new development.
- 4. The system development fee for one service unit of development was calculated by multiplying the cost per unit of system capacity by the capacity associated with one ERU, as defined below.

Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority

JOHN MCLEAN

5. The calculated system development fee for one ERU was scaled for different categories of demand. Meter capacity ratios were used to scale system development fees from a base meter size, or the smallest unit of new development (one ERU), to different categories of demand, as defined by the different customer meter sizes.

System Development Fee Calculation

Step 1 – Estimate the Replacement Value of System Facilities and Apply Adjustments

A listing of fixed assets provided by CFPUA, as of June 30, 2022, was reviewed and each individual asset was categorized into one of the categories shown in Table 1.

Water System **Sewer System Buildings Buildings Equipment** Equipment Land Land Leased Facilities Leased Facilities Other Improvements Other Improvements Software Software Water Lines Sewer Lines Water Meters

Table 1. Fixed Asset Categories by System

Assets related to meters and other "non-core assets" were excluded from the calculation of system value as these assets were not specifically identified as allowable under Article 8. Excluded assets included those relating to administrative and miscellaneous type buildings, rolling stock, and various types of equipment.

Next, the replacement value of existing assets in allowable categories was estimated. Each asset's original cost, as contained in the fixed asset listing provided by CFPUA, was escalated to 2022 dollars based on the year the asset was purchased and the corresponding escalation factor for that year. Escalation factors for each year were developed using the Handy Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs (for the South Atlantic Region), which provides an annual index value representing the relative change in construction costs for each year from 1912 to 2022. Using the Handy Whitman Index to estimate an asset's current replacement cost is an industry accepted method by which to value system facilities.

The replacement costs of the assets were adjusted by their indexed accumulated depreciation to derive the replacement cost new less accumulated depreciation ("RCNLD") amounts. The estimated RCNLD values for water and sewer system assets allowable under Article 8 are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Table 2. Water System Value (RCNLD)

| Description | RCNLD Value |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Buildings – Groundwater | \$2,702,456 |
| Buildings – Water | 205,305,144 |
| Equipment – Groundwater | 156,243 |
| Equipment – System | 1,087,395 |
| Equipment – Water | 494,224 |
| Land – Groundwater | 423,474 |
| Land – Water | 8,203,257 |
| Leased Facilities | 4,814,616 |
| Other Improvements - System | 22,010,798 |
| Other Improvements - Water | 3,098,297 |
| Software | 2,035,066 |
| Water Lines | 203,987,827 |
| Water Meters | 18,782,985 |
| Less: | |
| Water Meters | (18,782,985) |
| Equipment – Groundwater | (156,243) |
| Equipment – System | (1,087,395) |
| Equipment – Water | (494,224) |
| Leased Facilities | (4,814,616) |
| Software | (2,035,066) |
| Total | \$445,731,255 |

Table 3. Sewer System Value (RCNLD)

| Description | RCNLD Value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Buildings | \$ 135,039,523 |
| Equipment – Sewer | 3,308,797 |
| Equipment – System | 1,087,395 |
| Land | 8,551,975 |
| Leased Facilities | 4,814,616 |
| Other Improvements – System | 22,010,798 |
| Other Improvements – Sewer | 46,553,174 |
| Software | 2,035,066 |
| Sewer Lines | 474,712,991 |
| Less: | |
| Equipment – Sewer | (3,308,797) |
| Equipment – System | (1,087,395) |
| Leased Facilities | (4,814,616) |
| Software | (2,035,066) |
| Total | \$686,868,461 |

As shown in Table 2, the RCNLD value of the water system was estimated to be approximately \$455.7 million, and, as shown in Table 3, the RCNLD value of the sewer system was estimated to be

Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority

approximately \$686.9 million. Several additional adjustments were made to the estimated water and sewer system RCNLD values in accordance with Article 8, which included adjustments for grant funded assets, developer contributed assets and outstanding debt principal, as described below.

Grant Funded / Developer Contributed Assets

The listing of fixed assets was reviewed to identify assets that were grant funded, contributed, or paid for, by developers. CFPUA tracks assets that were contributed and identifies them in the fixed asset register as such. These assets were subtracted from the RCNLD value, as these assets do not represent an investment in system capacity by CFPUA. Similarly, CPFUA tracks the total amount of non-rate payer capital funding on assets. Raftelis used the proportion of non-rate payer funding to approximate a grant funding amount, and this was also subtracted from the RNCLD value. The total RCNLD value of grant funded and contributed water and sewer system assets was estimated to be approximately \$62.1 million and \$158.0 million, respectively.

Outstanding Principal Debt Credit

To ensure that new customers are not being double charged for core system assets, once through the system development fee and again through retail rates and charges, a portion of the outstanding debt principal amount was deducted from the system development fee calculation. The outstanding debt principal used to fund qualifying assets was approximately \$110.0 million and \$97.6 million, respectively for water and sewer according to the data provided by CFPUA staff. Outstanding debt that has not yet been spent on capital assets and for assets that are not included as qualifying assets was not included.

The resulting adjustments to the water and sewer RCNLD values for grant funded assets, developer contributions and outstanding debt principal are shown in Table 4.

| Description | Amount |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Water System: | |
| System Facilities RCNLD | \$445,731,255 |
| Less: Developer Contributed Assets | (48,706,794) |
| Less: Grant Funded Assets | (13,473,898) |
| Less: Outstanding Principal Debt | (109,968,033) |
| Net System Value | \$273,582,530 |
| | |
| Sewer System: | |
| System Facilities RCNLD | \$686,868,461 |
| Less: Developer Contributed Assets | (77,975,010) |
| Less: Grant Funded Assets | (79,990,458) |
| Less: Outstanding Principal Debt | (97,635,334) |
| Net System Value | \$431,267,659 |

Table 4. Calculation of Net Water and Sewer System Value

Step 2 – Calculate the Unit Cost of System Capacity

The cost per unit of system capacity was calculated by dividing the adjusted RCNLD values (derived in Step 1) by the water and sewer system capacities. The total treatment capacity of the water system is currently 43.31 million gallons per day ("MGD"). Therefore, the cost per unit of system capacity for the water system was calculated to be \$6.32 per gallon, per day ($$273,582,530 \div 43.31$ MGD).

The total treatment capacity of the sewer system is 28 MGD. Therefore, the cost per unit of system capacity for the sewer system was calculated to be \$15.40 per gallon, per day (\$431,267,659 ÷ 28.0 MGD).

Step 3 – Estimate the Amount of Capacity Per Service Unit of New Development

Section 205 of Article 8 states that the system development fee calculation "...use the gallons per day per service unit that the local governmental unit applies to its water or sewer system engineering for planning purposes for water or sewer, as appropriate, in calculating the system development fee." For both the water and sewer systems, one ERU of capacity was defined to be 360 gallons per day ("GPD"). CFPUA uses this amount for allocating and reserving water and sewer capacity for proposed and planned new development.

Step 4 – Calculate the System Development Fee for One ERU

Cape Fear Public Utilities Authority

The system development fee for one ERU was calculated by multiplying the unit cost of capacity from Step 2 by the capacity demanded by one ERU from Step 3. The calculations are provided in Table 5.

Table 5. Calculation of Water and Sewer System Development Fees for One ERU

| System Capacity (MGD) Unit Cost of Capacity (\$ / gallon per day) Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) System Development Fee per ERU \$2,274 | Description | Amount |
|---|---|---------------|
| System Capacity (MGD) Unit Cost of Capacity (\$ / gallon per day) \$6.32 Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) System Development Fee per ERU \$2,274 | Water System: | |
| Unit Cost of Capacity (\$ / gallon per day) \$6.32 Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) 360 System Development Fee per ERU \$2,274 | Net System Value | \$273,582,530 |
| Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) System Development Fee per ERU \$2,274 | System Capacity (MGD) | 43.31 |
| System Development Fee per ERU \$2,274 | Unit Cost of Capacity (\$ / gallon per day) | \$6.32 |
| System Development Fee per ERU \$2,274 | | |
| | Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) | 360 |
| | System Development Fee per ERU | \$2,274 |
| | | |
| Sewer System: | Sewer System: | |
| Net System Value \$431,267,659 | Net System Value | \$431,267,659 |
| System Capacity (MGD) 28.0 | System Capacity (MGD) | 28.0 |
| Unit Cost of Capacity (\$ / gallon, per day) \$15.40 | Unit Cost of Capacity (\$ / gallon, per day) | \$15.40 |
| | | |
| Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) 360 | Capacity Required for 1 ERU (gallons per day) | 360 |
| System Development Fee per ERU \$5,545 | System Development Fee per ERU | \$5,545 |

Step 5 – Scale the System Development Fees for Various Categories of Demand

The system development fees for various categories of demand were scaled using water meter capacity ratios. The scaling factors were based on rated meter capacities for each meter size, as published by the American Water Works Association in Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges.¹ The meter scaling factors are shown in Table 6.

¹ Manual of Water Supply Practices (M1), Principles of Water Rates, Fees, and Charges, American Water Works Association, 7th Edition, Table B-2 on p. 386.

Table 6. Meter Capacities and Scaling Factors by Meter Size

| Meter Size | Rated Meter Capacity (gpm) | Scaling Factor |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 5/8" | 20 | 1.0 |
| 1" | 50 | 2.5 |
| 1-1/2" | 100 | 5.0 |
| 2" | 160 | 8.0 |
| 3" | 300 | 15.0 |
| 4" | 500 | 25.0 |
| 6" | 1,000 | 50.0 |
| 8" | 1,600 | 80.0 |
| 10" | 2,400 | 120.0 |

Table 7. Water and Sewer System Development Fees by Meter Size

| Meter Size | Water Fee | Sewer Fee |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 5/8" | \$2,274 | \$5,545 |
| 1" | \$5,685 | \$13,862 |
| 1-1/2" | \$11,370 | \$27,724 |
| 2" | \$18,193 | \$44,359 |
| 3" | \$34,111 | \$83,173 |
| 4" | \$56,852 | \$138,622 |
| 6" | \$113,703 | \$277,243 |
| 8" | \$181,925 | \$443,590 |
| 10" | \$272,888 | \$665,384 |

The water and sewer system development fees shown in Table 7 represent the maximum cost justified level of system development fees that can be assessed by CFPUA per Article 8. If CFPUA chooses to assess fees that are less than those shown in the table, the adjusted fee amounts should still reflect the scaling factors by meter size, as shown in Table 6.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist CFPUA with the calculation of its water and sewer system development fees. Should you have questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 704-373-1199.

Sincerely,

RAFTELIS FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

Melissa Levin Vice President

MilsaLei